## Proposed Decision to be taken by the Portfolio for Transport and Planning on or after 15 November 2019

## The Warwickshire County Council (District Of Stratford On Avon) (Civil Enforcement Area) (Waiting Restrictions, On Street Parking Places and Residents' Parking) (Consolidation) (Variation No. 5) Order 2019

#### Recommendation

That the Portfolio Holder for Transport and Planning approves that the Warwickshire County Council (District of Stratford On Avon) (Civil Enforcement Area) (Waiting Restrictions, On Street Parking Places and Residents' Parking) (Consolidation) (Variation No. 5) Order 2019 be made as advertised, with the exception of the proposals relating to High Street, Alcester to be withdrawn pending re-advertising as part of a future variation to Stratford District waiting restrictions.

#### 1. Introduction

- 1.1. Throughout the year a number of requests for changes to parking restrictions have been received. This culminated in a list of schemes comprised of the requests that met with Warwickshire County Council's parking policies within Stratford on Avon District. Details relating to the proposed variations were published in the Stratford Observer Newspaper on 22<sup>nd</sup> August 2019 and the consultation was carried out in accordance with statutory procedure.
- 1.2. During the consultation process objections were received to various proposals within the variation. This report has been written to allow the Portfolio Holder to make an informed decision about whether to uphold the objections or to approve the variation.
- 1.3. The proposals that received no objections are detailed below and it is recommended that these are implemented as advertised.

Brownlow Drive, Stratford-upon-Avon – No Waiting at any Time A total of 5 letters of support were received

- Sanctus Road, Stratford-upon-Avon Limited Waiting with permit exemptions A total of one letter of support was received.
- St Johns Close No Waiting at any Time No correspondence was received.

Welcombe Road – No Waiting Mon-Fri 8.00am-9.30am 2.30pm-4.00pm Except buses

No correspondence was received.

Avenue Road – No Waiting at any Time No correspondence was received.

Shakespeare Street – Pay and Display-except permit holders only No correspondence was received.

- 1.4. The statutory criteria for decisions on making Traffic Regulation Orders are included as **Appendix A**.
- 1.5. Drawings showing published restrictions are found in **Appendix B.**
- 1.6. Copies of objections received can be found in **Appendix C.**

## 2. Market Way, Henley-in-Arden – No Waiting at any Time

2.1. Market Way is a relatively new residential street adjacent to the Warwick Road in Henley in Arden. Warwickshire County Council was asked by residents to help prevent dangerous parking near to the junction with Market Way and Warwick Road.

The restrictions that were proposed can be found in Appendix B as plan No. TR/10876-13

Emails/letters	
Total objections	1
Total comments	1

Ref	Objections and comments received	Total number of responses containing the comment
Α	Will eliminate the 3 parking spaces that are currently available.	1
В	Will push even more vehicles to park further up the road	1
С	Support the proposals	1
D	Request for residents parking only signs	1

Ref	Officer Comments
A/B	These restrictions were proposed to prevent dangerous parking near to a junction. This type of parking is already prohibited as is can be considered an obstruction, the proposals seek to reinforce this and to highlight where is safe to park.
С	No comment necessary
D	'Residents only' parking is not general County Council Policy. It is over- restrictive because it can remove valuable on-street parking spaces which would otherwise be available to drivers needing short-term parking. In many residential areas pressure on parking spaces is greatest during the evenings, overnight and at weekends. 'Residents only' restrictions would not help in these circumstances because the vehicles parked are likely to belong to residents.

It is recommended to implement the proposals as advertised

#### **Members Comments**

### 3. Prince Harry Road, Henley-in-Arden, No Waiting at any Time

3.1. Prince Harry Road is a residential road just outside of the main town centre. A request was received to extend the existing double yellow lines further into the road to restrict parking close to the junction or round the bend.

The restrictions that were proposed can be found in Appendix B as plan No. TR/10876-14

Emails/letters	
Total objections	2
Total comments	3

Ref	Objections and comments received	Total number of responses containing the comment
Α	Any concerns regarding safe passage of traffic are largely valid mostly within working hours and a 'No Waiting at any Time' restriction is unnecessarily severe.	2
В	Parking restrictions in Henley are so poorly enforced, changes on this road wouldn't fare any better	2

С	Propose the restriction should be No Waiting 0900-1700 Mon-Fri	1
D	Accept the proposals	1

Ref	Officer Comments
A/C	The proposals were for No Waiting at any Time as the requirement for safe passage of traffic is not restricted to certain times of the day, however it is acknowledged that at certain times the traffic volume is higher and the perception of restrictions being unnecessary is a justified one.
В	All parking restrictions are enforced in Warwickshire, the levels of enforcement are targeted to be proportionate to the location and resources available, measured against the compliance observed of the parking restrictions.
D	No comment necessary

It is recommended to implement the proposals as advertised

#### Members Comments

### 4. Main Street, Tiddington, No Waiting at any Time

4.1.On Main Street, Tiddington is a retirement property called Margaret Court. It was requested by the local member on behalf of Margaret Court to prevent dangerous parking near to the entrance of the property.

The restrictions that were proposed can be found in Appendix B as plan No. TR/10876-10

- 4.2. A petition was received containing 26 signatures in support of the proposals.
- 4.3. The following tables detail the objections and comments received together with the officers' comments and recommendation.

Emails/letters	
Total objections	2
Total comments	1

Ref	Objections and comments received	Total number of responses containing the comment
Α	Strongly support the proposals	1
В	Strongly Object to the proposals	2

<b>C</b>	Parking is already a problem, introducing these proposals	2
	will only make it worse	2

Ref	Officer Comments
A/B	No comment necessary
С	These restrictions were proposed to prevent dangerous parking near to a junction. This type of parking is already prohibited as is can be considered an obstruction, the proposals seek to reinforce this and to highlight where is safe to park.

It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.

#### **Members Comments**

#### 5. New Street, Tiddington, No Waiting at any Time

5.1. At the south end of New Street, a new residential estate is being constructed. A number of residents approached the County Council with concerns over the volume of traffic that would be using this road. What was proposed was proposed was to restrict parking along the road to allow traffic to use the road more freely.

The restrictions that were proposed can be found in Appendix B as plan No. TR/10876-11

Emails/letters	
Total objections	2
Total comments	4

Ref	Objections and comments received	Total number of responses containing the comment
Α	Welcome the changes	1
В	No Waiting should be all the way along one side	1
С	Continuing with parking one side then the other is dangerous when dealing with two-way traffic	1
D	Make New Street one way	2
E	Not enough space as it is, by introducing No Waiting zones will force residents to access scarce free parking	6

F	Strongly oppose	3
G	Request a single yellow line	1

Ref	Officer Comments
A/F	No comment necessary
B/C	To prevent parking on one side of the road only is likely to increase the speeds of traffic travelling along New Street increasing the risk of an accident occurring. By switching the parking from one side to the other creates a 'chicane' feature that serves to reduce vehicle speeds by forcing cars to manoeuvre round the cars
D	A traffic survey was carried out and it was decided that New Street would not be the favourable through route for residents from the new development. Therefore, this would not justify the inconvenience caused to residents.
E	These restrictions were proposed to highlight where could considered safe and where could be considered unsafe to park. It is acknowledged that parking could be shifted to the surrounding streets, however the responsibility lies with the driver to find a safe and reasonable place to park.
G	The proposals were for No Waiting at any Time as the requirement for safe passage of traffic is not restricted to certain times of the day, however it is acknowledged that at certain times the traffic volume is higher and the perception of restrictions being unnecessary is a justified one.

It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.

#### Members Comments

### 6. Sandfield Road, Stratford-upon-Avon – No Waiting at any Time

6.1. Sandfield Road is a residential road which has a mixture of houses and apartments along its length. We have received a number of requests to prevent vehicles parking along Sandfield Road, preventing vehicles from accessing the parking areas for the apartments.

The restrictions that were proposed can be found in Appendix B as plan No. TR/10876-055.2.

Emails/letters	
Total objections	1
Total comments	2

Ref	Objections and comments received	Total number of responses containing the comment
Α	Introduction of parking permits for Sandfield Road on safety grounds should go ahead	1
В	Support the changes	2
С	Object to the proposals	1
D	By putting double yellow lines outside of the houses disadvantages those houses by not allowing visitors	1
E	Agree to putting double yellow lines opposite the houses	1

Ref	Officer Comments
Α	The proposals were for No Waiting at any Time restrictions. Permits were not proposed for Sandfield Road.
B/C/E	No comment necessary
D	The double yellow lines outside of the houses on Sandfield Road were proposed to prevent the cars that are currently parking opposite from parking directly outside of the houses potentially blocking the driveways.

# Recommendations It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.

#### Members Comments

## 7. Sheep Street, Shipston-on-Stour – No Waiting at any Time

7.1. Sheep Street is a narrow street in Shipston on Stour that has a mix of residential and business addresses along its length. It was requested by a resident to remove a short section of parking opposite the entrance of Sheepfold Mews to aid vehicles exiting the courtyard area.

The restrictions that were proposed can be found in Appendix B as plan No. TR/10876-01

Emails/letters	
Total objections	1
Total comments	0

Ref	Objections and comments received	Total number of responses containing the comment
Α	Loses one parking space on Sheep Street	1
В	Access to Sheep Fold Mews is perfectly possible	1

Ref	Officer Comments
A	It is acknowledged that these proposals would remove space for one car to park, however Sheep Street has capacity for in excess of 40 cars.
В	Whilst access to Sheep Fold Mews may be possible under certain circumstances, anecdotal evidence from a resident and computer simulated tracking of this manoeuvre has shown it to be difficult when cars are parked opposite the junction.

Recommendations
It is recommended that the proposals are implemented as advertised.

#### Members Comments

## 8. High Street, Alcester – Disabled Badge Holders Only

8.1.It was suggested by the local member to introduce more disabled bays along High Street, Alcester.

The restrictions that were proposed can be found in Appendix B as plan No. TR/10876-12

8.2. The following tables detail the officers' comments and recommendation for this proposal.

#### Officer Comments

During the consultation, Alcester Town Council raised concerns over the accessibility of the plans. Additionally, they raised concerns over the length of time that residents and businesses had to respond. Whilst the letters were sent out before the consultation began, they did not arrive until a week after the consultation started. We agreed with the Town council that the proposals will be reconsulted upon to ensure that all affected residents and businesses are properly informed.

#### Recommendations

It is recommended to remove these proposals from the variation with a view to reconsult in the next variation to parking controls in Stratford District.

#### **Members Comments**

## 9. Financial Implications

9.1. All work will be carried out within the existing 2019/20 budget allocations.

## **10.** Timescales associated with the decision and next steps

11.1. All work will be carried out within the existing 2019/20 budget allocations.

## 11. Background Papers

12.1. Letters and email objections along with large scale plans that can be produced if required.

	Name	Contact details
Report author	Ben Davenport	bendavenport@warwickshire.gov.uk
Assistant Director	Dave Ayton-Hill	daveayton-hill@warwickshire.gov.uk
Strategic director	Mark Ryder	markryder@warwickshire.gov.uk
Portfolio holder	Jeff Clarke	cllrclarke@warwickshire.gov.uk

This report was circulated to the following elected members prior to publication:

Local members: Councillors Fradgley, Rolfe, Cargill, Horner, Barker and Skinner

Other members: Councillors Clarke, Cockburn, Shilton, Holland, Kondakor, Roodhouse and Chilvers

## Statutory Criteria for Decisions on Making Traffic Regulation Orders and Parking Orders

## Various Roads, District of Stratford-Proposed Waiting Restrictions, On-Street Parking Places and Residents' Parking Permits – Variation 05


- 1. The Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 enables the Council to implement Traffic Regulation Orders (TROs) for one or more of the following purposes:-
  - (i) avoiding danger to persons or traffic;
  - (ii) preventing damage to the road or to buildings nearby;
  - (iii) facilitating the passage of traffic;
  - (iv) preventing use by unsuitable traffic;
  - (v) preserving the character of a road especially suitable for walking and horse riding;
  - (vi) preserving or improving amenities of the area through which the road runs;
  - (vii) for any of the purposes specified in Section 87(1)(a) to (c) of the Environment Act 1995 in relation to air quality.
- 2. TROs are designed to regulate, restrict or prohibit the use of a road or any part of the width of a road by vehicular traffic or pedestrians. Permanent TROs remain in force until superseded or revoked.
- 3. TROs must not have the effect of preventing pedestrian access at any time, or preventing vehicular access for more than 8 hours in 24, to premises on or adjacent to the road. This restriction does not apply if the Council states in the order that it requires vehicular access to be limited for more than 8 hours in 24.
- 4. The Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 also enables the Council to make orders authorising the use of part of a road as a parking place without charge, for the purpose of preventing or relieving congestion, and enables the Council to make orders designating parking places on highways with a charge. In determining what parking places are to be designated, the Council shall consider both the interests of traffic, and those of the owners/occupiers of adjoining property and in particular:-
  - (I) The need for maintaining the free movement of traffic;
  - (ii) The need for maintaining reasonable access to premises; and
  - (iii) The extent to which off-street parking is available in the neighbourhood.
- 5. In deciding whether or not to make any order, the Council is required to have regard to the matters set out in section 122 of the 1984 Act. Section 122(1) requires the Council to exercise the functions conferred on it by the 1984 Act as (so far as practicable, having regard to the matters specified in Section 122(2)) to secure the expeditious, convenient and safe movement of vehicular and other





traffic (including pedestrians), and the provision of suitable and adequate parking facilities on and off the highway.


- 6. The matters to which the Council must have regard are:-
  - (i) The desirability of securing and maintaining reasonable access to premises;
  - (ii) The effect on the amenities of any locality affected, and the importance of regulating and restricting the use of roads by heavy commercial vehicles so as to preserve or improve the amenities of the areas through which the roads run;
  - (iii) The national air quality strategy prepared under Section 80 of the Environmental Protection Act 1995;
  - (iv) The importance of facilitating the passage of public service vehicles and of securing the safety and convenience of persons using or desiring to use such vehicles;
  - (v) Any other matters appearing to the Council to be relevant
- 7. Therefore, whilst the overall objective of the Council must be to secure the expeditious, convenient and safe movement of vehicular traffic, this will sometimes need to give way to the objectives in Section 122(2), and a balance has to be achieved between the overall objective and the matters set out in Section 122(2).














































## Parking restrictions at the junction of the A3400 and Mayswood

1 message

4 September 2019 at 12:49

To: pmc@warwickshire.gov.uk,

Ben.

I am responding to your letter dated Thursday 22nd of August requesting comments, support or objections to the proposed parking restrictions at the junction of the A3400 and Mayswood road in Wootton Wawen. I reside in Mayswood road

I fully support the implementation of parking restrictions as proposed with some additional comments.

Firstly, The continued parking of customers from 'Camper King' result in near head on collisions almost daily on this junction, when cars overtaking parked cars nearly collide with cars entering Mayswood road from the A3400.

(please see the following video I filmed in response to your request for comments that clearly shows the exact problem that the proposed restrictions would help to alleviate that this image was taken from:

The wall outside my property has been repeatedly demolished by cars swerving to avoid such collisions, much to my annovance and considerable repeated expense:

Additional comments:

The pavement directly outside 'Camper King' is used as customer parking. Cars park entirely on the pavement and completely obscure the visibility of the A3400 for vehicles leaving Mayswood road. This issue also occurs repeatedly. Placing parking restrictions on the road itself won't prevent parking entirely on the pavement

The issue mentioned above regarding near head on collisions is dramatically compounded by the entry speed of cars from the A3400 and Mayswood road.

Mayswood road is a commuter rat trap for people commuting from Stratford to Redditch. Whilst adding parking restrictions is a good first step, the council should definitely consider introducing a measure of traffic calming on this junction, either by making the angle of the corner more pronounced, a speed hump, a chicane or similar measures, as the speed with which cars enter Mayswood road when turning left from the A3400 is such that I have been hit whilst trying to exit my property as there is insufficient stopping distance for the speeds travelled.

#### 23/09/2019

Warwickshire County Council Mail - Parking restrictions at the junction of the A3400 and Mayswood

This has been raised previously with Wootton Wawen Parish council and prompted a site visit of a councilor, who agreed that the junction was dangerously fast, but this 'is a county council issue, not a Parish Council one', and that Warwickshire County Council had (and I quote) "no budget or appetite" for traffic issues no action was taken

I believe that this position has now potentially changed in recent months, so I raise it again for consideration.

Regards





## Permitted Parking Area - (Variation 5) order 2019

1 message

To: pmc@warwickshire.gov.uk

9 September 2019 at 09:31

Dear Ben Davenport

I live at **Characterized** and I would like to register my support for the introduction of resident permit parking in the service road outside of my property.

Currently there are issues with the parking in this area as I have a drive and a drop down curb, which sometimes people park across. So I was very please to see the new yellow lines as outlined on the plan as they will come across my drop down curb and act as a deterrent for this happening. The yellow lines opposite my drive in combination with the new creation of parking bays will also prevent people from 'boxing me in' in terms of gaining access to the service road. I am please to see there are only 3 parking bays allowed on the Evesham Road side as if people park too far down opposite my drive it is difficult for me to reverse out safely. Access is also and issue if people park too close to my drive which creates too narrow a gap for me to exit the service road to the right of my property and I therefore, also welcome the creation of only 5 spaces near to the houses.

As we are close to town, parking has also been an issue for some time, as non-residents are using it as a free car park in the daytime while they do their days work meaning there are no spaces for the residents, some of which may be elderly, disabled or have children.

I very much hope this scheme goes ahead for the reasons outlined above as it would be a great improvement to my current situation around parking issues.

Yours sincerely



RECEIVED	3 0 AUG	2019	
		IS GROUP	
CEADERS') CTU			

stratford - upon - Avon. Warwickshire

27 th August 2019

For the Attention of Ben Davenport.

Ref. PARICING RESTRICTIONS.

I live a't Evesham Road I E the Mini Supermonder that was granted permission to build/extend, the shop which is far too big for a residential area because they do not have enough Parlang spaces to allocate for the massive amount of traffic, Large delivery trucks it creats. Having looked at your proposed parking plan, why to you not take the double yellow lines to the end of the because all that you are doing is making it worse for me as half the time I cannot get off my driveway because nobody trikes any notice of the white H Lines and when I come to get onto my drive way if its not blocked I have to drive on up in between cars, vans or lorries like a funnell, Hus When I want to get off my driveway I have to Neverse onto the main road (which is wrong) with restricted vision which is dangerous. I would appreciate it if you would extend the yedlaw lines across my driveway up to the shop. I'm fed up with confrontations and threats just because I want to get on and off my driveway so hopefully

Cont.

- 2-Cont. you will see reason? The traffic would be pushed more onto my driveway, for the salle of an extra 8 610 yards, and astancy people to parterin each a small space. I hook forward to your comments. Yours suiceday Attached: Please refer to deagram on plans. Original copy of plan not included due to personally identifiable information



## Parking restrictions Brownlow Drive CV37 9QS

1 message

22 August 2019 at 17:02

To: pmc@warwickshire.gov.uk

Dear Ben or whoever it may concern

Thank you for alerting me about proposed traffic restrictions on my road. I live at each address with the proposals.

However I do have another issue on this road which has been created by one of my neighbours living at Brownlow Drive. Because he does not like the parking on our road he has decided to place two large plant holders on the road. This is causing difficulty because it means that if anyone has any family or other visiting them - his pots are in the way.

I have moved them once onto the pavement but they were swiftly moved back. Since these pots are on the other side of the road from his house I d o not believe he has the authority to place them here.

Can you please advise what further action could be taken to prevent this man behaving so stupidly!!

Many thanks



## Brownlow Drive Stratford upon Avon

1 message

22 August 2019 at 20:26

To: "pmc@warwickshire.gov.uk" <pmc@warwickshire.gov.uk>

Dear Ben

Thank you for your letter regarding yellow lines round the corners of our road. We support this proposal. The real problem for us is vans, cars etc parking outside the convenience store which blocks our view of traffic coming down Evesham Road into the town - so we hope this will go some way towards resolving this safety issue. Will the yellow lines go right up to the store?

Best wishes





#### Parking Restrictions Brownlow Drive

1 message

26 August 2019 at 12:37

To: pmc@warwickshire.gov.uk

Dear Mr Davenport

I have viewed online the plans for parking restrictions on Brownlow Drive and fully support the need to make improvements after so many years of petitioning local councillors to do something about this dangerous parking.

The way cars are parked completely obscures my view when exiting the road and turning right. When entering the road I have often found that I have had to reverse onto the main Evesham Road as cars exiting Brownlow Drive have right of way as the obstruction is on my side. This is extremely dangerous and is an accident waiting to happen. The situation is exacerbated by cars parking outside the local shop which further obscures the view.

The problem is not only restricted to the corner. Many motorists park their cars all day along Brownlow Drive. They are not residents. Many of these people do not live in Stratford but work there. Not only are they avoiding paying car parking fees to the Council but they are preventing residents from reversing out of their drives. It is totally unacceptable. When challenged these motorists are often rude and abusive. I cannot understand why nothing has been done about this. I have personally shown the problems many times to Jenny Fradgeley over the years. Commercial vehicles also access the road which is a cul-de sac and then drive up the track at the top of Brownlow Drive: often far too fast. It is not safe for young children, and one day someone will be knocked over.

I am an Advanced Motorist and for some time I was an Assessor. I think I am well qualified to express an opinion regarding safety and good practice. Whilst I welcome the proposal to mark out yellow lines around the corner of the road, it is not the complete solution and I think the situation should be considered further bearing in mind the points I have raised.

Yours sincerely

Brownlow Drive Stratford upon Avon Warwickshire



#### Proposed no parking at any time Brownlow Drive and Evesham Road 1 message

5 September 2019 at 19:51

To: "pmc@warwickshire.gov.uk" <pmc@warwickshire.gov.uk>

We are pleased that this is progressing and are in total support of this action. A very dangerous intersection it requires something like this before a serious accident occurs

Brian and Ann Smith Brownlow Drive



# Proposed New No Waiting - Brownlow Drive/Junction with Evesham Road, Stratford-upon-Avon

1 message

9 September 2019 at 11:46

To: "pmc@warwickshire.gov.uk" <pmc@warwickshire.gov.uk>

Dear Mr Davenport

Further to your letter of 22 August 2019 please find attached my letter of representation. I should be grateful if we can agree to meet on site so that I can explain the issues.

Yours sincerely

Letter 9 September 2019 with photos.pdf 2566K

Evesham Road, Stratford upon Avon, Warwickshire,

Ben Davenport Warwickshire County Council Traffic and Road Safety PO Box 43, Shire Hall Warwick, CV34 4SX

By email to: pmc@warwickshire.gov.uk

9 September 2019

Dear Mr Davenport

#### Proposed New 'No Waiting at any Time' Brownlow Drive/Junction with Evesham Road, Stratford upon Avon

In response to Warwickshire County Council consultation dated 22 August 2019 | support the proposed parking restrictions at the junction of Brownlow Drive, subject to the double yellow line extending a little further west along Evesham Road.

This part of the Evesham Road (30mph) is busy with general traffic, together with vehicle movements associated with the popular convenience store store and the bus stop (with half hourly stops) immediately opposite the driveway of the driveway of the store and the bus stop (with half hourly stops) immediately opposite the driveway of the driveway o

As currently proposed the double yellow line terminates at the boundary between our property and our neighbour's property This will leave a short unmarked section of road between the yellow line marking and our neighbour's private access protection marking/driveway. As WCC are aware, the Brownlow Drive junction area is currently used for the parking of vehicles by visitors to the convenience store. The restriction of this junction area to 'no parking' will inevitably mean the unmarked section of road immediately between our driveway and our neighbour's driveway will become the regular parking place for visitors to the shop.

If left unrestricted, the frequent movements of vehicles in and out, and parked up, in this space immediately between two (frequently used) driveways, opposite a bus stop, on this busy, cycle laned Evesham Road will cause highway danger and I fear that road traffic collisions will occur as a result. I would also add that when exiting our property, if a vehicle is parked in this area immediately alongside our driveway, a dangerous obstruction is created with zero visibility to the west of oncoming vehicles and cyclists. I attach three photographs which show such obstruction and blocked visibility.


Finally, I would add that I have previously been advised (by Jane Williams at WCC) that parking in a cycle lane is an obstruction and that the police can enforce an obstruction. However Stratford on Avon Police (Dominic of the Safer Neighbourhood Team) has advised that all the cycle lanes in Stratford on Avon have dash lines and that the police have no powers to prevent vehicles from parking in cycle lanes with dash lines.

I suggest a meeting on site would be helpful and I look forward to hearing from you.

Yours sincerely



COLORED 09 SEP 2019 SUNITIES GROUP



Date: 5th Sept 2019

Ben Davenport Traffic & Road Safety PO Box 43 Shire Hall Warwick **CV34 4SX** 

CC.h.

Dear Ben:

I have been given a copy of your letter dated 22nd August to Residents in Market Way Henley in Arden. Whilst I am-I am writing to you as a Resident of Market Close.

We applaud your decision to install double yellow lines in Market Way at the Junction of Warwick Road, today there was a large Motorhome parked right at the entrance to Market Way, causing a traffic jam in Warwick Road at the time School Children were being dropped off for school, it was mayhem. We residents are however concerned that his will impact on non-residents parking further up Market Way & into Market Close.

Can you as part of this work install signs at the entrance into Market Way stating Residents Parking only.

I attach a copy of the Covenants that all residents had to accept and draw your attention to Para 6 & 7.

Yours Sincerely,

Original copy of coverant not included due to personally identifiable information



#### On Street Parking Changes: Market Way

1 message

27 August 2019 at 20:09

To: pmc@warwickshire.gov.uk

To whom it may concern,

This email is in conjunction with the proposed changes to the parking restrictions on Market Way, Henley in Arden.

I would like to express my strong objection to the extension of the parking restrictions at the entrance to Market Way, which therefore will eliminate the 3 parking spaces that are currently available.

As a resident of Market Way, there is already an existing frustration as we are facing problems with non residents of the street already parking outside of our homes. This hinders our own parking and is causing a huge problem to both myself and other residents.

The vehicles that currently park in the existing 3 spaces are either owned by residents living under the archways in Market Way or those with frontages on Warwick Road. Both of these locations already have either allocated parking by their own property or permit parking on Warwick road.

These new restrictions will definitely exacerbate the already existing problem with non residents parking on Market Way, as these will push even more vehicles to park further up this road.

I firmly believe these new changes will have detrimental consequences on top of the already existing problems that exist with parking on Market Way.

I do hope you take my objection into serious consideration.

Yours sincerely

AT ALL TIMES Jule TUE TO DISABILITY AND RELY ON ACCESS From L RECEIVED 28 AUG 2019 De Painsee HARRY ROAD COMMUNITIES GROUP LAOERSHIP TEAM

To Me BON DAVENPORT TRAFFIC AND ROMO SAFETY

X

HENLEY. IN ARDION Arow 3 5000 EMAL:

23-08-2019

DEAR SIR ; RE THE ATTACHED COPY of YOUR PROPOSED CONSULTING DOCUMENT DATED 22-08-2019:-

A GASE NOTE THAT THE UNDERSIGNED ACCEPTS FULLY THE PROPERTY FOR BINCE HARRY RUAD CATENDOD FACIENCE RESTRICTIONS,

THIS IS BASED ON THE DOCUMENTERPROBLEMS WE HAVE ORDERTOD UNDER ZORD OR NOM ZORD ACCOR TO OUR RUPORTIOS AP A RESPECT OF THE EXISTING SYSTEMOF UNCONTEULLED PARKING: -

THE PROPOSAL IF ACTIONED WILL GIVE US DAILY ACLESS, (CURCONSTLY DENIED) THE ABILITY TO HAVE ACLOSE TO -

When USSHRE AND STRATEORD HOSPITHIS

· RUBLIC TRANSPORT

HONSLEY MEDICAL CENTRE

11 PERREMACY

" Post affice

" Davine Fracilities

· SERVICES/SUPPLIES DELIVORIES

· FAMILY CONTACTS. CTC

THE MMN GMN IS THAT THE WCC IS WORKING WITHIN THE FRAMWORK OF THE EQUALITY ACTOR THE POCT 2010 AND LISTONING TO ALL SECTIONS OF THE PUBLIC; MANUY THANKS :-

From 7



#### Parking restrictions on Prince Harry Road, Henley-in-Arden

1 message

26 August 2019 at 16:41

To: pmc@warwickshire.gov.uk

Dear Mr Davenport

Thank you for making us aware of the proposed changes to parking restrictions in our area. I'm a homeowner and resident of Prince Harry Road, Henley-in-Arden, and have some slight objections regarding the proposals which will pass directly in front of my property.

According the statement of reasons, the rationale for introducing "no waiting at any time" is for safe passage of any class of vehicle. While I'm not aware of any safety concerns or incidents, I can imagine these concerns are more regarding larger vehicles such as refuse collection and delivery vehicles. Prince Harry Road is not a through road, and apart from access to the small car park and doctor's surgery, the only traffic is residential. Moreover, although one or two cars do park in the area in which restrictions are proposed, the area is by no means congested. I would suggest that any concerns are largely valid mostly within working hours and a "no waiting at any time" restriction is unnecessarily severe.

Another basis for objection is purely based on the fact that parking restrictions elsewhere in Henley are so poorly enforced that I have little faith that any changes on this road would fare any better. I especially refer to people parking in front of the Co-op and Post office, just to "nip in", where parking is clearly illegal and does cause significant back up of traffic and, I understand, has even led to accidents and injury (http://www.henleynews.co.uk/18\_06\_13.html). I feel sorting that out is a safety priority over unnecessarily severe restrictions on peripheral roads.

Kind regards



#### Parking area objection - TR/10876-14

1 message

To: pmc@warwickshire.gov.uk

26 August 2019 at 16:50

o. prito and marman origin

Dear Mr Davenport

I write concerning parking area with consultation plan reference TR/10876-14.

I am a resident of Prince Harry road. I write to object to the proposed parking restrictions as above.

You mention the restrictions are to alleviate safety concerns - I am not aware of any safety incidents on our road and given it is not a through road traffic is relatively light.

I believe it is right to have *some* parking restrictions on our road as I can understand some concerns around parked cars when traffic is heaviest - during the working hours of the week for example access for the Lloyds van, the rubbish collections and delivery vehicles.

However, given traffic numbers - a 'no waiting at any time' is too extreme a restriction. Parking out of hours pose much less of an issue given traffic is much quieter.

I propose the restriction should be adjusted to 'no waiting between 0900 - 1700 Mon - Fri'

This would satisfy the safety element at the busiest times of the week while allowing residents to use the street during quieter periods.

I must also note - I am sceptical of the enforcement of restrictions in any case given the lack of control on Henley high street. There is a 'no waiting' restriction outside the Coop yet this is regularly ignored and causes significant build up of traffic. It is only a matter of time before it results in an incident. I raise this as I think this is a more urgent matter and better use of council resources than looking at auxiliary roads!

I look forward to the outcome of the proposals, Kind Regards

Prince Harry Road

Henley in Arden



#### parking restrictions Main Street Tiddington

1 message

To: pmc@warwickshire.gov.uk

7 September 2019 at 08:45

Ben Davenport Traffic and Road Safety 43, Shire Hall, Warwick, CV34 4SX

Dear Mr Davenport,

Re: Proposed changes to the Street parking, Main Street, Tiddington CV37 7AY, Warwickshire County Council District Stratford upon Avon.

I strongly support the placing of double yellow lines at the entrance to Margaret Court, Main Street, Tiddington,

Margaret Court is a development of 50 dwellings with a constant flow of traffic in and out of the Court by residents, tradesmen, visitors and carers for elderly, frail residents. Vehicles are continually parked on Main Street up to, overlapping and even into the entrance of Margaret Court making exit from the Court an extremely hazardous procedure. Some of the vehicles are often large trade vehicles and even coaches. It is often necessary to pull out past the parked vehicles to the opposite side of the road in order to see to exit the Court. There have been numerous "near misses". The buses also frequently cannot acccess the adjacent bus bay and have to stop in the middle of the road to pick up or put down passengers.

I speak on behalf of myself and all my fellow residents in supporting the placing of double yellow lines from the bus bay into the entrance to Margaret Court and also from the entrance of the Community Centre into Margaret Court entrance.

I also support the proposed extension to the double yellow lines on Main Street where it joins New Street. There is a problem here for pedestrians, as well as drivers, as it is difficult to see past parked vehicles to determine whether or not traffic is about to turn into New Street thus making the crossing of the end of the street hazardous.

Yours sincerely


Main Street,

Tiddington, Stratford upon Avon



# FW: Support for Planning Application for Double Yellow Lines at Margaret Court, Tiddington

1 message



13 September 2019 at 12:24

#### Dear Sir/Madam

Please find attached a scanned series of signatures supporting the application for double yellow lines in Main St Tiddington. I understand this is the least day for submissions and would appreciate it if you could acknowledge receipt and confirmation of inclusion **Confirmation**, who I have copied into this email.

With thanks and kind regards



A Total of 26 Signatures were received, all in support



This E-mail message is private and confidential and should only be read by the intended recipient(s) If you are not the intended recipient(s), you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying, reproduction, modification or publication of this communication is strictly prohibited. Please delete the message from your computer and destroy any copies.

This message is not intended to be relied upon by any person without subsequent written confirmation of its contents. This company therefore disclaims all responsibility and accepts no liability of any kind which may arise from any person acting, or refraining from acting, upon the contents of the message without having had subsequent written confirmation.

Outgoing messages are scanned for viruses but cannot be guaranteed for their absence. Please check all attachments before opening them. If you have received this communication in error or if any problems occur in transmission please notify us immediately.

Registered in England & Wales no:	
Original Message From: Sent: 13 September 2019 12:28 To Subject:	
Hoc02512220190913112435.pdf     2573K     2573K	



#### Waiting restrictions, On Street parking places Main Street Tiddington. ( Consolidation) Variation 5) Order 2019

1 message

24 August 2019 at 17:16

To: "pmc@warwickshire.gov.uk" <pmc@warwickshire.gov.uk>

Dear Ben Davenport,

I very strongly oppose the 5 metre extension of No Waiting at any time on the junction of Main Street/ New Street Tiddington. Parking is already very limited for residents of Main Street, this scheme will make it even worse. I also very strongly oppose the proposal to put No Waiting at any time by the junction of Margaret Court as this will mean even less parking spaces on Main Street !!

I have lived Main Street for nearly 42 years and parking has become more and more difficult, often there has been absolutely no where to park. I am now in my mid 60's and I often have to park a great distance away from my home in another street ; which makes it difficult with shopping etc and when I look after my grandchildren an added worry. Also as car crime in Stratford upon Avon is increasing it is very worrying to have ones car parked out of sight and so far from home.

Houses along both sides of Main Street are mainly Terraced houses.. we have no choice but to park on the roadside. This proposed scheme will make a massive nightmare for all residents. Where will we park? There is no alternative for us like off road parking, are we to be penalised for living in an 1820's terraced house.

We cannot lose these precious parking places, if these schemes go ahead then I will never get parked near my house. Our happy village will turn into a battlefield for parking spaces.. what a nightmare. I have lived in Stratford upon Avon all my life and love it. I don't want to spend the rest of my life filled with stress over parking.

The safest thing for Main Street is to reduce the speed limit to 20 mph and have better signage as you enter the village, to stop the ever increasing problem of speeding which is so dangerous.

Please do not enforce these waiting restrictions.

Yours Sincerely





### Waiting Restrictions, On-Street Parking Places (Variation 5) Order 2019

1 message

24 August 2019 at 17:17

To: pmc@warwickshire.gov.uk

Dear Ben Davenport,

As a resident of Main Street, Tiddington for nearly 42 years I would like to say I strongly object to the proposed 5 metre extension of 'No Waiting at anytime ' on Main Street. Parking for residents of Main Street is already very limited and to restrict this even more is going to make it even worse. Also the proposal to put 'No Waiting at anytime ' by the junction at Margaret Court is going to exasperate the problem.

A better scheme in my opinion would be to make New Street one way, away from Main Street giving New Street residents the option of continuing their journey either along the Tiddington Road or Loxley Road out of Knights Lane. Yours sincerely,



#### residents parking restrictions New Street, Tiddington CV37

1 message

9 September 2019 at 15:11

To: pmc@warwickshire.gov.uk

I understand partially why these new lines may have to be painted on New Street but as there are now at least 2 cars per household (there are probably 5 houses with no cars) then occasionally parking is impossible.

Would you consider painting a single line instead of a double so maybe between the hours of 7pm and 7am parking would be allowed if absolutely necessary.

Otherwise what is the solution? And if an emergency vehicle can proceed between two lines of cars then what is the problem? The pavement on the parking allowed side is completely free to walk on for pedestrians.

Also the viewing mirror for oncoming traffic on the main road opposite New Street has now been removed. For safety reasons please can it be reinstalled.





#### parking changes, New Street, Tiddington

1 message

To: pmc@warwickshire.gov.uk

22 August 2019 at 14:57

With regards to the proposed changes to parking on New Street, Tiddington.

Whilst I welcome changes can I please make the following suggestions as a resident:

1) the "no parking" restriction should be all the way along one side of the street from start to end. This would enable emergency services to use that side of the road and pavement if required to access patients. Continuing with the ridiculous system of parking one side and then another and then back to the previous side is dangerous, particulary when dealing with two way traffic.

2) Make it one way. With the new housing being built on Oak Road, it will be a constant cut through and exiting New Street onto Main Street is exceptionally dangerous. Traffic should be one way from Main Street to Oak Road only. Even with the increased parking restrictions on Main Street, this will still be a hazard.

Kind Regards





#### **Objection drawing number: TR10876-11**

1 message

22 August 2019 at 18:11


To: "pmc@warwickshire.gov.uk" <pmc@warwickshire.gov.uk>

I am writing to object to the plans to make New Street and Main Street into no waiting zones.

Parking in the village is difficult at best, particularly as the majority of houses along New Street and Main Street have no parking facilities ie no driveways and no garages. By introducing no waiting zones and possibly inflicting permits at a high premium to residents it will force the village residents to access free parking which is scarce as it is.

I live at Main Street and due to the no parking penalties inflicted right outside my home we are already forced to park down Carter's Lane which can be tricky when we have shopping and children to juggle especially on bad weather conditions but we struggle with it. If we have to compete with more residents for this precious free spot, our life will become far more tricky. As it is the safety of our car is always in jeopardy being parked so far from our home. In fact the other evening, neighbours informed us that our car alarm was going off and both front doors were wide open, obviously an attempted theft. Having more residents being forced to park down Carter's Lane will only for e us further away.

I really think this is a cash generator for the council and think one that is totally unecessary. I totally object.





#### Main Street tiddington

1 message

22 August 2019 at 19:34

To: "pmc@warwickshire.gov.uk" <pmc@warwickshire.gov.uk>

Hello Ben, I currently live on Main Street tiddington for the last 6 years . I am writing about the proposed 5metre restriction either side of the entrance to new street . I would like to object to this as the terrace houses only just about have enough parking spaces. I appreciate that visibility is restricted coming out of new street but we had a solution. Main Street had a lamp post at the end which the residents attached a mirror this made the junction safe , visibility solved no problems !! If the lamp post was reinstated after it was hit by a crane (it should be ?) ,the mirror could go up and there would be no need for the new line or losing parking spaces , to me this is a no brainier. Also regarding parking permit I would have no object to this but the parking on Main Street would have to be no parking unless you have a permit . As the auction house is over the road the parking spaces are used by customers so non residents therefore a 2 hour return wouldn't work as people are normal only a hour or less I would say addition cost to residents would be value for money as the space could be taken by non paying residents!!!

Many thanks

Get Outlook for iOS



## Proposed new parking restrictions on Sandfield Road. TR/10876-05

To: "pmc@warwickshire.gov.uk" <pmc@warwickshire.gov.uk>

27 August 2019 at 20:36

As resident I fully support the proposed new restrictions and agree with the reasons of visibility and safety.

Sent from Outlook Mobile



#### parking permits Sandfield Road

1 message

To: "pmc@warwickshire.gov.uk" <pmc@warwickshire.gov.uk>

22 August 2019 at 16:27

Dear Mr. Davenport,

With reference to the introduction of parking permits for Sandfield Road I think on the grounds of safety it should be given the go ahead as soon as possible.

Senior pupils from local schools take up most of the spaces rather than pay for parking in their own car parking areas so instead of giving planning permission for building homes on their playing fields they should encourage development of parking spaces for their students.

Yours sincerely



Sandfield Road



Sent from Mail for Windows 10



#### **Objection to parking proposals for Sandfield Road Stratford-upon-Avon** 1 message

To: "pmc@warwickshire.gov.uk" <pmc@warwickshire.gov.uk>

23 August 2019 at 10:41

Dear Mr Davenport,

Thanks you for the recent communication regarding proposed changes to parking areas in Sandfield Road, Stratford-upon-Avon,

I would like to object to the current proposals on the following grounds:-

Making the area between Nos 11-14 Sandfield Road directly on the side of the properties a 'no waiting at any time' zone/restriction is totally unacceptable. I reside and own Sandfield Road and have been a resident for over 22 years. During that time i have had to live with the parking problems which have recently escalated by other badly designed restrictions and parking charges introduced at the Greenway car park. I agree that putting yellow lines across the road from my property would be appropriate as this problem parking area is dangerous and causing a hazard. However by putting the same restrictions in front of mine and the neighbouring properties is disadvantaging us and would cause many problems. It would mean that no visitors or family could park outside my property. No Sandfield Road currently has regular Carers visiting their property 4 times a day who need to park directly outside the property. My neighbour at Sandfield Road currently does shift work working for an emergency services company and has to exit his property at various times throughout the night. Currently one of their cars will be parked outside their house to minimize noise during the night for the neighbours. I have elderly family who visit also need to park close by and need a dropped kerb to by able to get out of a vehicle.

This proposal hasn't been thought through very well and it would be good if perhaps the planners spoke to residents before coming up with these proposals.

I am more than happy to discuss my views further, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Many thanks,





#### **Parking Restrictions**

1 message

To: "pmc@warwickshire.gov.uk" <pmc@warwickshire.gov.uk>

23 August 2019 at 09:50

Dear Ben,

Many thanks for your letter dated 22<sup>nd</sup> August. My address is Sandfield Road I am writing in support of the changes to the parking restrictions in my road. I have complained previously, as have a number of my neighbours, as there are constantly cars parked opposite our homes. It makes it very difficult to reverse off our drives as there is little room to manoeuvre with so many random vehicles parked there. These people just tend to use our road as a car park! They park their vehicles there and walk in to town for work, pleasure etc, therefore avoiding any parking fees. I feel it's a very wise decision to alter these restrictions and thank you for the proposal.

Kind regards,

1.	1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.	
Direct		
Switchboard		
Email		

Good afternoon

Following my telephone conversation with **Termen** am writing as requested to express the Town Council's concern with respect to the above consultation which runs between 22 August and 13 September 2019.

- The Town Council has not been notified of this consultation.
- Letters to residents appear to have been franked dated 27 August
- · Residents did not receive their notice letters until at least 5 days had elapsed from the start of the consultation
- Concerns exist about the timing of the consultation with residents often being away on holiday during this time
- Many residents are elderly, are not IT literate or do not have access to the internet to view plans online.
   Access to hard copies seems to be limited to Stratford on Avon. The rationale is questioned as to why hard copies of the plans were not made available here at Globe House or in Alcester Library

I understand Ben Davenport is on leave until Monday 9 September. This matter will form an agenda item at Planning Committee which is meeting on Monday evening 9 September so I would very much appreciate a response ahead of that time.

With many thanks

Kind regards

Alcester Town Council Globe House Priory Road Alcester B49 5DZ

This e-mail is confidential and may contain legally privileged information. You should not disclose its contents to any other person. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately.

Whilst the Council has taken every reasonable precaution to minimise the risk of computer software viruses, it cannot accept liability for any damage which you may sustain as a result of such viruses. You should carry out your own virus checks before opening the e-mail (and/or any attachments).